



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed Edition :

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS

Megha Middha



Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar

Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC - NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR - Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

EXAMINING THE SURGE IN CORPORATE FRAUD CASES IN INDIA STEMMING FROM WEAKNESSES IN CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MECHANISMS

AUTHORED BY: - UDITA DUTTA

B.A. LL. B(H), 4th Year

Amity Law School Noida, Amity University Uttar Pradesh

Contact Details: -

Ph No.: (+91) 6009101953

Email Id: uditadutta06@gmail.com

Abstract

In recent years, the dynamics between ownership and management have evolved as the cornerstone of the modern corporation. Corporate governance, while facilitating improved access to financial resources, extends its significance to encompass multifaceted aspects such as transparency in corporate structures and operations, accountability of management and boards to shareholders, and corporate responsibility towards stakeholders. As global enterprises increasingly recognize the substantial value that effective corporate governance adds to operational performance, this paper underscores India's imperative to elevate its governance standards to address existing weaknesses.

This study offers a comprehensive analysis of corporate governance in India, supported by recent data and comparative insights. By illuminating current disparities and aligning India's corporate governance landscape with global benchmarks, we demonstrate the urgent need for reform. The paper highlights the potential benefits of robust corporate governance, including catalyzing economic growth, attracting foreign investments, and nurturing stakeholder trust. Moreover, it underscores the untapped potential for Indian firms to enhance their competitiveness by embracing best practices in corporate governance.

Incorporating recent data, this paper presents a compelling case for India to intensify efforts towards bolstering corporate governance as a pivotal driver of sustainable and responsible business growth.

The evidence underscores the crucial role that contemporary corporate governance practices play in shaping India's economic and corporate landscape.

Keywords: Corporate governance, Transparency, Accountability, Competitive advantage, Sustainable growth

Introduction

Corporate governance serves as the intricate framework governing the relationships between a company's management, board of directors, shareholders, auditors, and various stakeholders. It is a system of rules and incentives that not only dictates how a company operates but also how it sets objectives, devises strategies, and monitors performance. At its core, effective corporate governance hinges on three fundamental principles: transparency of corporate structures and operations, the accountability of managers and boards to shareholders, and the acknowledgment of corporate responsibility to stakeholders.

However, it is crucial to recognize that the significance of corporate governance extends well beyond the realm of facilitating better access to finance. In recent years, companies worldwide have come to realize that robust corporate governance adds considerable value to their operational performance, shaping strategic thinking and risk management while limiting the liability of top management and directors.

One of the key benefits of sound corporate governance is its ability to enhance strategic decision-making. By inducting independent directors onto boards, companies gain access to a wealth of experience and a host of new ideas. These independent directors, free from conflicts of interest and vested in the company's long-term success, bring fresh perspectives that can stimulate innovative thinking and challenge the status quo. Their contributions often lead to more informed and well-rounded strategic choices, which can significantly benefit the company's overall performance and competitive advantage.

Another critical dimension where corporate governance plays a pivotal role is in the management and monitoring of risks. In today's globalized and interconnected business environment, companies face

an array of complex risks, ranging from financial and operational to reputational and environmental. Robust corporate governance structures provide mechanisms for identifying, assessing, and mitigating these risks effectively. By instilling a culture of risk management and accountability throughout the organization, corporate governance helps companies proactively address challenges, thereby safeguarding their long-term sustainability.

Furthermore, corporate governance mechanisms contribute to limiting the liability of top management and directors. By clearly articulating decision-making processes and ensuring that they are followed diligently, corporate governance offers protection to those responsible for steering the company. This not only fosters a sense of confidence among executives and directors but also attracts top talent to leadership positions, as individuals are more willing to take on roles with clearly defined responsibilities and limited personal liability.

A contemporary view of corporate governance also embraces the Stakeholder Theory, which posits that a company and its executive board bear responsibility not only to shareholders but also to individuals or groups that have a stake in the company's actions and decisions. This theory highlights the importance of considering broader societal impacts and responsibilities in corporate decision-making. Companies are increasingly recognizing that their success is intertwined with the well-being of various stakeholders, including employees, customers, communities, and the environment. By actively addressing the interests of these stakeholders and integrating them into their corporate strategy, companies can build stronger relationships, enhance their reputation, and secure long-term sustainability.

It is a multifaceted concept that serves as the backbone of modern corporations. While it lays the foundation for trust between companies and external capital providers, its importance extends far beyond financial considerations. Companies worldwide are experiencing the substantial benefits of robust corporate governance in terms of strategic thinking, risk management, and limiting liability. Moreover, it recognizes the significance of broader stakeholder interests, underscoring the pivotal role that responsible corporate behavior plays in today's business landscape. As businesses continue to evolve, effective corporate governance remains a critical tool for achieving sustainable growth and societal impact.

Research Methodology

Through the utilization of a doctrinal method, this research paper conducts a thorough examination of legal elements, involving the analysis and interpretation of statutes, regulations, and case precedents. Its primary objective is to offer valuable legal perspectives, propose reforms, and emphasize the fundamental significance of the legal framework in advancing enduring corporate governance practices in India.

Research Questions

1. What are the key statutory and regulatory provisions governing corporate governance in India, and how do they influence corporate behavior and decision-making?
2. To what extent do Indian companies comply with legal requirements related to board composition, disclosure standards, and shareholder rights, and how does this compliance impact corporate governance practices?
3. What legal challenges and opportunities exist for future research in the realm of corporate governance in India, particularly in light of ongoing legal reforms and evolving jurisprudence?

Research Objectives

1. To analyze and critically assess the statutory and regulatory framework governing corporate governance in India.
2. To assess the effectiveness of regulatory authorities such as SEBI and the Ministry of Corporate Affairs in enforcing corporate governance standards and the impact of regulatory actions on corporate behavior.
3. To highlight the broader legal implications of corporate governance on sustainable growth and stakeholder trust, emphasizing the role of the legal framework in promoting responsible corporate behavior.

Sustainable Growth through Corporate Governance Reform

Robust governance standards emphasizing fairness, transparency, accountability, and responsibility stand as the cornerstone not only for nurturing a healthy and thriving corporate sector but also for

advancing the cause of inclusive economic growth. Recent corporate scandals have brought immense public pressure to bear on the imperative of overhauling business practices and enhancing regulatory oversight. The collective outcry following these scandals has made one thing abundantly clear: the existing state of affairs is no longer tenable, and the public is demanding a paradigm shift towards corporate behavior characterized by unwavering accountability and responsibility.

It is an established fact that restoring public trust in capital markets and ensuring their continued vitality requires more than just leadership from the corporate sector. It necessitates effective government intervention, manifested through comprehensive regulatory reforms, fortified auditing processes, and heightened law enforcement actions. These responses underscore the pivotal position that corporate governance now occupies on the public policy agenda. The recent scandals serve as stark reminders of how lax regulatory institutions, standards, and enforcement mechanisms can have far-reaching repercussions that reverberate through the economy and impact the lives of ordinary citizens.

However, it is crucial to recognize that government actions in response to these scandals must be deliberate, well-considered, and ultimately effective in addressing the root issues. While corporate governance has emerged as a focal point in the public discourse, the path to reform and revitalization is fraught with challenges and complexities. In the following sections, we will delve deeper into the various dimensions of this issue.

The need for Strong Governance Standards:

The clamor for robust governance standards stems from a recognition that the very foundation of trust in the corporate sector has been shaken by a series of high-profile scandals. These standards encompass several critical aspects:

1. **Fairness:** Fairness is essential to ensure that all stakeholders, including shareholders, employees, customers, and the wider community, are treated equitably. Corporate decisions should not favor one group at the expense of another.

2. **Transparency:** Transparency implies a commitment to open and honest communication. Companies must provide clear, accurate, and timely information about their financial health, operations, and decision-making processes.

3. **Accountability:** Accountability means that those responsible for corporate decisions and actions must answer for their choices. This includes executives, directors, and other key decision-makers being held answerable for their roles in any misconduct.

4. **Responsibility:** Responsibility extends to a broader ethical dimension. Corporations should operate in a manner that respects the rights and well-being of all stakeholders, while also being mindful of their impact on society and the environment.

The Role of Government:

Given the scale of corporate scandals and their potential to harm not only investors but also the broader economy, there is an expectation that governments will play an active role in rectifying the situation. Effective government action encompasses the following areas:

1. **Regulatory Reforms:** Governments need to revisit and potentially overhaul existing regulations to ensure they are robust enough to deter corporate misconduct and protect stakeholders. This may involve strengthening reporting requirements, increasing penalties for wrongdoing, and enhancing regulatory oversight.

2. **Auditing and Oversight:** Independent auditing is a linchpin of corporate governance. Governments must bolster the auditing profession, improve auditing standards, and ensure rigorous oversight of auditors to maintain their independence and integrity.

3. **Law Enforcement:** Corporate malfeasance must be met with swift and effective law enforcement. This includes investigating allegations of misconduct, prosecuting wrongdoers, and imposing penalties that serve as a deterrent.

4. **Transparency Initiatives:** Governments can promote transparency by requiring companies to

disclose their corporate governance practices, executive compensation, and social and environmental impacts. These disclosures empower investors and stakeholders to make informed decisions.

Corporate Governance in India

Several significant corporate governance initiatives have been launched in India since the mid-1990s. The first initiative was introduced by the Confederation of Indian Industry (CII), which is India's largest industry and business association. In 1998, the CII developed the first voluntary code of corporate governance. The second initiative was led by the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) and is now known as Clause 49 of the listing agreement. The third initiative was the Naresh Chandra Committee, which presented its report in 2002. The fourth initiative was also led by SEBI, known as the Narayana Murthy Committee, which submitted its report in 2002. Based on some of the recommendations from this committee, SEBI revised Clause 49 of the listing agreement in August 2003. However, SEBI later withdrew the revised Clause 49 in December 2003, and the original Clause 49 remains in effect.

The CII Code:

Over a year before the Asian financial crisis, the CII established a committee to examine corporate governance issues and propose a voluntary code of best practices. The committee believed that good corporate governance was crucial for Indian companies to access domestic and global capital at competitive rates. The initial draft of the code was prepared by April 1997, and the final document was publicly released in April 1998. This code was voluntary, comprehensive in its provisions, and primarily focused on listed companies.

Kumar Mangalam Birla Committee Report and Clause 49:

The second major corporate governance initiative in India was spearheaded by SEBI. In early 1999, SEBI formed a committee under Kumar Mangalam Birla's leadership to enhance and promote the standards of good corporate governance. By early 2000, SEBI had accepted and endorsed key recommendations from this committee, incorporating them into Clause 49 of the Listing Agreement of the Stock Exchanges. This report emphasized that corporate governance concerns not only shareholders but all other stakeholders as well. The committee's recommendations approached corporate governance from a stakeholder perspective, particularly focusing on shareholders and

investors. The report established a set of recommendations, initially targeting disclosures by listed companies. These recommendations aimed to inform shareholders about the companies they were invested in, enhancing transparency and accountability. The committee acknowledged that India had a foundational corporate governance system in place and that SEBI had already taken several initiatives to raise existing standards.

Naresh Chandra Committee Report

The Naresh Chandra Committee was established in August 2002 by the Department of Company Affairs (DCA) within the Ministry of Finance and Company Affairs to investigate various issues related to corporate governance. This committee presented its findings in December 2002 and focused on two critical aspects of corporate governance: financial and non-financial disclosures, as well as independent auditing and board oversight of management. The committee's report covered a range of corporate governance matters, including the roles, compensation, and training of independent directors, the functioning of audit committees, the responsibilities of auditors, and their relationship with the company. The committee strongly emphasized that a robust accounting system serves as a clear indicator of a company's commitment to governance. It should facilitate optimal disclosure and transparency, offer reliability and credibility, and enable comparability. According to the committee, the statutory auditor within a company plays a pivotal role in ensuring transparency and disclosure, as recognized by sections 209 to 223 of the Companies Act.

Insider Trading

Individuals within a corporation, such as officers, directors, and employees, have privileged access to confidential information about the company, which they may exploit for personal gain. In many countries, trading by corporate insiders, including officers, key employees, directors, and significant shareholders, is legal as long as it is conducted without leveraging non-public information. Nevertheless, the term is often associated with a practice where an insider or an associated party engages in trading based on significant non-public information acquired during their role within the company, or in violation of a fiduciary or other trust-based relationship. This may also involve the misappropriation of information from the company. These corporate insiders use this information to profit or avoid losses in the stock market, to the detriment of the source of the information and ordinary investors who trade without access to such privileged information.

The term "insider trading" typically carries a negative connotation because it is perceived that individuals with access to sensitive, undisclosed information use it for their own personal benefit. However, it's important to note that insider trading, in and of itself, does not inherently imply illegal conduct. It encompasses both legal and illegal activities. Legally, insider trading occurs when corporate insiders such as officers, directors, and employees buy and sell stocks in their own companies. To legitimize their transactions, these individuals must report their dealings in securities to regulatory bodies like the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI).

SEBI serves as the overseer of all stock exchanges in India and is tasked with safeguarding the interests of investors in the securities market while regulating stock market activities through appropriate regulations. This responsibility arises because investors engage in speculative trading in stocks, but when share prices can be predicted in advance, they may make investment decisions accordingly. Consequently, predetermined price movements may lead to unintended consequences, such as individuals purchasing large quantities of shares whose value is expected to rise significantly.

Section 17 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1933 was enacted to combat fraudulent activities in the sale of securities with the utmost stringency. The Act directly addressed insider trading through Section 16(b) and indirectly through Section 10(b). Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 prohibits the buying and selling of shares within a six-month period involving directors, officers, and stockholders who own more than 10% of a company's shares. This provision was included because it is typically substantial shareholders and individuals involved in the decision-making and management of the company who have access to price-sensitive information, making it necessary to restrict their ability to engage in securities transactions.

In the case of *Samir.C.Arora vs. SEBI*, Mr. Arora was subjected to a SEBI order that prohibited him from buying, selling, or engaging in any securities transactions directly or indirectly for a five-year period. Additionally, if Mr. Arora wished to sell the securities he held, he needed prior permission from SEBI. Mr. Arora challenged SEBI's order before the Securities Appellate Tribunal (SAT). SAT overturned SEBI's order on the grounds of insufficient evidence to substantiate charges of insider trading and professional misconduct against Mr. Arora.

This case highlights a significant issue: SEBI's lack of a comprehensive investigative mechanism and a vigilant approach, which allows wrongdoers to evade legal consequences. In many cases, SEBI has failed to provide evidence and support its position in court. Unlike civil liability cases, which require a balance of probabilities for proof, criminal liability cases necessitate the allegations to be proven beyond reasonable doubt. Therefore, thorough investigations are essential, with any potential loopholes addressed diligently.

Basis of Indian Model of Corporate Governance

The primary concern within the Indian corporate sector revolves around the necessity to regulate dominant shareholders and protect the interests of minority shareholders. Addressing instances of corporate governance abuse by dominant shareholders requires external intervention, as the internal mechanisms of the company lack the means to do so effectively. In an environment where ownership and management have become distinctly separated, owners struggle to exert significant control over the management and the Board.

The central challenge in Indian corporate governance doesn't stem from a conflict between management and owners, as is the case in the United States and the United Kingdom. Instead, it primarily involves a conflict between dominant shareholders and minority shareholders. This challenge is most prominent in three broad categories of Indian companies:

1. Public sector units (PSUs), where the government holds a dominant (often majority) stake, leaving the general public with a minority stake.
2. Multinational companies (MNCs), where the foreign parent company typically serves as the dominant (often majority) shareholder.
3. Indian business groups, where the promoters, along with their associates, hold dominant stakes, government-owned financial institutions have significant holdings, and the remaining shares are owned by the general public.

It's crucial to understand that the relationship between a company and its shareholders, as well as among the shareholders themselves, is fundamentally contractual in nature. The company's memorandum and articles of association constitute the core of this contractual arrangement, with

corporate law providing the framework within which these contracts operate. At its essence, this contractual relationship entitles each shareholder to a share of the company's profits and assets corresponding to their shareholding. Consequently, the Board and management of the company bear a fiduciary responsibility not only to the majority or dominant shareholder but to every shareholder. Shareholder democracy, in its truest sense, is not the defining feature of the corporate business model. Shares primarily represent ownership rights—rights to a share in profits and assets. In some instances, shares may also encompass secondary rights, including control rights such as the ability to appoint the Board and approve significant decisions. The concept of shareholder democracy often places emphasis on these secondary aspects of shareholder rights, which are relatively less critical. Genuine corporate governance should primarily focus on safeguarding ownership rights. When a shareholder's ownership rights are violated, it is inadequate to argue that their control rights have been fully upheld.

Conclusion and Solution

In examining the surge in corporate fraud cases in India, stemming from weaknesses in corporate governance mechanisms, it becomes evident that addressing these challenges is of paramount importance. A critical aspect of this effort involves preventing insider trading, which is a key component of maintaining the integrity of corporate governance. This can be achieved by establishing internal procedures that facilitate timely and comprehensive disclosures while implementing specific rules governing the behavior of insiders. Furthermore, the ability to penalize offenders is crucial, and the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) must demonstrate a strong commitment to effectively combat insider trading, potentially by creating a dedicated and comprehensive code.

In addition to these measures, the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) provides a valuable framework of principles for corporate governance reform. These principles encompass:

1. **The Rights of Shareholders:** Shareholders should have secure ownership of their shares, access to essential information, voting rights, and the opportunity to participate in significant decisions.
2. **The Equitable Treatment of Shareholders:** Protecting the rights of minority shareholders is imperative, and systems should be in place to prevent insiders, including managers and directors, from exploiting their positions for personal gain.

3. The Role of Stakeholders in Corporate Governance: Recognizing that there are multiple stakeholders beyond shareholders, the OECD emphasizes the necessity of safeguarding the rights of these individuals and groups associated with the company. This includes employees who invest their human capital in the firm, warranting the protection of their rights.

In light of the surge in corporate fraud cases in India, it is evident that the current weaknesses in corporate governance mechanisms must be addressed comprehensively and urgently. By implementing the OECD principles and focusing on preventing insider trading through robust internal procedures, rules, and penalties, India can work toward fortifying its corporate governance framework. This will not only enhance transparency and accountability but also promote the long-term sustainability and credibility of businesses in the country.

Furthermore, it is crucial to recognize that the surge in corporate fraud cases is not solely a matter of legal or regulatory enforcement; it is deeply rooted in the corporate culture and governance practices prevalent in India. Addressing these weaknesses requires a holistic approach that combines legal reforms with cultural and structural changes within organizations.

One possible solution is to encourage a culture of ethics and integrity within corporations. Companies should prioritize values such as transparency, honesty, and fairness in their operations. This cultural shift should start from the top, with senior management setting the example for the entire organization. Additionally, whistleblower protection mechanisms should be strengthened to encourage employees to report wrongdoing without fear of retaliation.

Another key aspect is enhancing the independence and effectiveness of boards of directors. Independent directors play a critical role in overseeing corporate governance and mitigating conflicts of interest. Stricter criteria for appointing independent directors, regular evaluations of their performance, and increased accountability can help ensure that they truly act in the best interests of all shareholders.

Moreover, regulatory bodies like SEBI should continue to refine and strengthen corporate governance regulations. This includes periodic revisions of codes and guidelines, as well as the introduction of

stricter enforcement measures and penalties for non-compliance. SEBI should also focus on enhancing its investigative and enforcement capabilities to detect and deter corporate misconduct effectively.

References

- (i) <http://eravandi.blogspot.in/2009/10/corporate-governance-2.html>
- (ii) http://business.gov.in/corporate_governance/narayana_murthy.php
- (iii) <http://www.ibanet.org/Article/Detail.aspx?ArticleUid=4BBCD91B-ADC0-466A-9B17-29071AE06FC0>
- (iv) http://business.gov.in/corporate_governance/future_prospects.php
- (v) [Corporate Governance Failures as a Cause of Increasing Corporate Frauds in India—An Analysis | SpringerLink](#)
- (vi) http://www.mca.gov.in/Ministry/pdf/Report_Companies_Law_Committee_01022016.pdf
- (vii) <https://www.oecd.org/corporate/ca/corporategovernanceprinciples/43056196.pdf>
- (viii) <http://www.businessworld.in/article/The-Great-Corporate-Governance-Challenge/18-06-2018-152244/>
- (ix) <https://encoller.tau.ac.il/sites/nihul.tau.ac.il/files/management/seminars/account/gu.pdf>
- (x) <http://ficci.in/spdocument/20310/FICCI-Deloitte.pdf>